

Article

Design of an Extractive Distillation Column for the Environmentally Benign Separation of Zirconium and Hafnium Tetrachloride for Nuclear Power Reactor Applications

Le Quang Minh ¹, Nguyen Van Duc Long ¹, Pham Luu Trung Duong ¹, Youngmi Jung ¹, Alireza Bahadori ² and Moonyong Lee ^{1,*}

¹ School of Chemical Engineering, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan 712-749, Korea; E-Mails: minh2510@ynu.ac.kr (L.Q.M.); allenthelong@yahoo.com (N.V.D.L.); pluutrongduong@yahoo.com (P.L.T.D.); hana720@nate.com (Y.J.)

² School of Environment, Science and Engineering, Southern Cross University, Lismore 2480, New South Wales, Australia; E-Mail: alireza.bahadori@scu.edu.au

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: mynlee@yu.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-53-810-2512; Fax: +82-53-811-3241.

Academic Editor: Hiroshi Sekimoto

Received: 24 July 2015 / Accepted: 12 September 2015 / Published: 21 September 2015

Abstract: Nuclear power with strengthened safety regulations continues to be used as an important resource in the world for managing atmospheric greenhouse gases and associated climate change. This study examined the environmentally benign separation of zirconium tetrachloride ($ZrCl_4$) and hafnium tetrachloride ($HfCl_4$) for nuclear power reactor applications through extractive distillation using a NaCl-KCl molten salt mixture. The vapor–liquid equilibrium behavior of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$ over the molten salt system was correlated with Raoult’s law. The molten salt-based extractive distillation column was designed optimally using a rigorous commercial simulator for the feasible separation of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$. The molten salt-based extractive distillation approach has many potential advantages for the commercial separation of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$ compared to the conventional distillation because of its milder temperatures and pressure conditions, smaller number of required separation trays in the column, and lower energy requirement for separation, while still taking the advantage of environmentally benign feature by distillation. A heat-pump-assisted configuration was also explored to improve the energy efficiency of the extractive distillation process. The proposed enhanced configuration reduced the energy requirement drastically. Extractive distillation can be a promising option competing

with the existing extraction-based separation process for zirconium purification for nuclear power reactor applications.

Keywords: zirconium tetrachloride; hafnium tetrachloride; nuclear power reactor; extractive distillation; molten salt; heat pump; environmentally benign separation

1. Introduction

Nuclear power has emerged as a reliable baseload source of electricity providing approximately 13% of the world's electrical power [1]. Nuclear energy is an important resource in managing atmospheric greenhouse gases and associated climate change with its overwhelmingly low carbon emission considering that energy generation accounts for 66% of worldwide greenhouse gas emissions [1–3].

Zirconium (Zr) alloy (or Zircaloy2) has many useful properties for applications in nuclear facilities, such as low absorption cross-section of thermal neutrons, high ductility, good fabricability, hardness, and corrosion resistance [4,5]. Therefore, these alloys are used widely as cladding and guide tubes in pressurized water-cooled reactors. For nuclear reactor applications, the existence of hafnium (Hf), which can be found in zirconium ore at 1–3 wt%, should be avoided because of its high thermal neutron cross section. Owing to their similar physical and chemical properties with almost identical ionic radii (0.074 nm for Zr^{4+} , 0.075 nm for Hf^{4+}), the separation of these elements is a challenge, leading to many intricate processing steps for producing Hf-free Zr in commercial nuclear reactor applications. In particular, a purification step is one of the core and harshest steps to determine the required Zr purity.

Because the tetrachlorides are the preferred compounds used in the reduction reactions for the production of Zr and Hf in a metallic form, extensive efforts have focused on the separation of $HfCl_4$ and $ZrCl_4$ [6–9]. Extraction is still the most popular and economic way for commercial Zr-Hf purification [10–16]. On the other hand, regardless of its main virtue in mass production, the extraction-based Zr-Hf separation requires considerable amounts of relatively expensive, corrosive and environmentally harmful solvent chemicals. A large portion of the entire processing facility for the production of Zr and Hf metals is dedicated to handling a multiple-step solvent extraction process in the presence of a solvent. Furthermore, it also generates a huge volume of liquid waste, which is difficult to dispose of due to stringent environmental protection laws [17–20]. For example, isobutyl methylketone (MIBK), which is the most popular solvents used for Zr-Hf extraction, requires environmentally harmful cyanogen (CN) chemicals as an additive. These drawbacks of extraction-based separation have prompted research into the development of an environmentally benign technology to separate $HfCl_4$ and $ZrCl_4$.

Distillation has attracted considerable attention for Zr purification because of its potential for clean separation and many other advantages for a large scale production, such as fewer unit operations and chemical consumption, higher overall yield, and less effluent [17,18]. On the other hand, because distillation utilizes the volatility difference of the components associated with the vapor-liquid equilibrium (VLE), the close boiling points of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$ with narrow and harsh conditions for the vapor-liquid phase existence limits the distillation applications: a large number of separation stages

are required in the column to bring HfCl_4 to an acceptably low level; stringent temperature control and a confining pressure are needed to manage the VLE; and the column material must withstand high temperatures and pressures. Note that ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 are solids at normal temperatures and sublime when heated under normal pressure. The physical properties of these components are described elsewhere [21].

The extractive distillation technique can be an attractive alternative to overcome these limitations of conventional distillation, while still having advantages in distillation applications. A eutectic mixture of HfCl_4 and ZrCl_4 in certain fused salts is potentially useful for this purpose. Fused salts for extractive distillation should have some important properties, such as high solubility for ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 at elevated temperatures, low vapor pressure, low viscosity, and high dissolution for many different materials [22]. In addition, the lowest operating temperature of the column must be higher than the sublimation temperature of HfCl_4 at atmospheric pressure [22]. Several candidate solutions have been described [23–26].

In this study, the feasibility of extractive distillation using molten salts was examined to separate ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 for nuclear power reactor applications. The experimental data was chosen from the literature, and correlated with the Raoult's law-type behavior model. The optimal design of extractive distillation column and its enhanced configuration was studied with its main design condition using a rigorous commercial process simulator, Aspen HYSYS, and their performance was compared with conventional distillation.

2. Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium Model for ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 Mixture over Molten Salts

An alteration in the volatility of ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 by adding a solvent is desired in view of their close vapor pressures. The essential parameters that should be considered for the design of extractive distillation are (i) the selection of a suitable solvent to dissolve the tetrachlorides; (ii) the operating temperature and pressure; and (iii) a reliable material of construction. Regarding these parameters, several molten salts have been recommended for extractive distillation [27].

The volatility of HfCl_4 over a HfCl_4 -KCl-NaCl solution is approximately 1.7 times larger than that of ZrCl_4 over the ZrCl_4 -KCl-NaCl solution in the range, 63.0–67.5 mol% tetrachlorides (HfCl_4 - ZrCl_4) [22], which indicates the economic separation of HfCl_4 and ZrCl_4 by extractive distillation in a molten salt solution. In addition, the molten salt mixture of NaCl-KCl has preferential properties in that a homogeneous solution can be formulated at a relatively low temperature and the liquid phase exists over a reasonably wide range of compositions at atmospheric pressure [22]. Note that a system with tetrachlorides only requires an exceedingly high pressure and higher temperature as well as a very narrow range of vapor-liquid phase.

This study targeted the molten salt mixture, 66.0 mol% tetrachlorides (ZrCl_4 - HfCl_4) and 34.0 mol% salts (NaCl-KCl, 8:29 molar). The experiment results for this molten salt mixture showed that the molten salt system selected can be melted completely and consists of a liquid and vapor phase in the temperature range, 304 °C to 410 °C [28].

For the design of an extractive distillation column, the vapor-liquid phase equilibrium behavior of the tetrachloride mixtures in the selected molten salt homogeneous solution needs to be known. To build up a proper vapor-liquid phase equilibrium model of the tetrachlorides in the selected molten

salt mixture, the experimental data was taken from [28], and correlated with Raoult's law-type behavior with the Antoine vapor pressure model. For simplicity, the system was assumed to be a binary mixture composed of two hypothetical components: ZrCl_4 with the molten salts (*i.e.*, $\text{ZrCl}_4\text{-KCl-NaCl}$ component) and HfCl_4 with the molten salts (*i.e.*, $\text{HfCl}_4\text{-KCl-NaCl}$ component). Raoult's law was chosen by considering the expected ideal solution behavior of the liquid phase due to the structural similarity and the ideal gas behavior under the low-pressure conditions. The extended Antoine equation [29] was chosen for a rigorous prediction of the hypothetical component vapor pressure. The Antoine equation coefficients of each hypothetical component were then obtained by non-linear regression by minimizing the absolute average deviations between the predicted and experimental results for the total vapor pressure. The experimental data for the total vapor pressure was taken at the bubble point temperature, which consists only of the vapor or liquid phase. Note that in the experiment [28], the amounts of ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 varied for the different runs, whereas the total composition of ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 was maintained at 66.0 mol% in the salt system.

The resulting Antoine equations for the hypothetical ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 components are as follows:

$$\ln P_{\text{ZrCl}_4(\text{hypothetical})} = 16.1133 - \frac{7636.14}{11.8568 + T} + 0.0260 \times \ln T + 0.4131 \times T^{-4.8211} \quad \text{for } 577 \text{ K} < T < 683 \text{ K}, 23 \text{ kPa} < P < 223 \text{ kPa} \quad (1)$$

$$\ln P_{\text{HfCl}_4(\text{hypothetical})} = 13.4190 - \frac{7950.47}{7.3530 + T} + 0.6022 \times \ln T - 0.9490 \times T^{-3.0828} \quad \text{for } 577 \text{ K} < T < 683 \text{ K}, 23 \text{ kPa} < P < 223 \text{ kPa} \quad (2)$$

where P and T denote the vapor pressure in kPa and temperature in K, respectively.

Figure 1 compares the predicted and experimental results of the total pressure for various compositions and temperatures. The total pressure predicted by Raoult's law with the extended Antoine equation showed good agreement with the experimental values.




Figure 1. Comparison of the predicted and experimental results of the total pressure for different ZrCl_4 compositions in the molten salt system (34.0 mol% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4).

Table 1 lists the experimental data of the total pressure used for regression and the values predicted from the regression model. The resulting absolute average deviation (AAD) defined by Equation (3) was a small enough value of 4.15%, which indicates satisfactory prediction ability of the regression model for design purposes.

$$\% AAD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{p_{i,\text{pre}} - p_{i,\text{exp}}}{p_{i,\text{exp}}} \right| \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where N is the number of experimental data.

Table 1. Experimental and predicted total pressure for the different ZrCl₄ composition in the molten salt system (34.0 mol% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄).

Composition of ZrCl ₄ , mol% (Salt-Free Basis)	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (mmHg)	
		Experiment [28]	Predicted
28.92	383.7	1408	1410
	389	1568	1555
	375.9	1220	1218
	346.2	730	675
	354.6	830	802
	362.5	935	939
	360.5	1070	903
	378.2	1240	1272
	370	1080	1088
	362.4	968	937
	354.3	832	797
	346.4	680	678
	338.1	602	569
	354.6	792	802
	369.8	1035	1083
	352.3	690	765
	329.6	478	473
	320.4	405	386
	320.3	395	385
	304.9	275	270

Table 1. Cont.

Composition of ZrCl ₄ , mol% (Salt-Free Basis)	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (mmHg)	
		Experiment [28]	Predicted
54.74	353.1	693	705
	385.8	1305	1296
	385.8	1275	1299
	401.2	1653	1692
	393.6	1454	1485
	405.6	1797	1821
	394.3	1442	1503
	386.9	1274	1325
	377.3	1112	1112
	361.3	832	821
	406	1764	1851
	361.8	841	829
	353.9	723	710
	354	659	711
	337.9	500	513
	321.8	359	363
	305	246	249
	305.5	219	252
	354.3	716	716
	304.8	230	248
72.91	388.9	1295	1247
	375.9	1040	989
	388.9	1275	1247
	395.5	1435	1399
	376	1040	991
	329.5	405	399
	318.9	323	318
	328.5	400	391
	351.3	615	621
	376	970	991
	377	950	1009
	389.3	1250	1256
	389	1232	1250
	395.8	1380	1406
	376	950	991
	351.3	590	621
	328.6	402	391
	316.8	295	304

Table 1. Cont.

Composition of ZrCl_4 , mol% (Salt-Free Basis)	Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Pressure (mmHg)	
		Experiment [28]	Predicted
80.83	382.8	1149	1072
	390.5	1305	1226
	398.5	1455	1406
	403.2	1550	1521
	398.3	1433	1401
	390.4	1300	1224
	390.8	1272	1232
	383.8	1117	1091
	367	810	805
	358.8	713	690
	359.1	700	694
	351	617	594
	342.9	515	507
	334.8	425	430
	325.5	360	355
	317	285	296
	308.9	240	248
93.19	402.5	1405	1390
	397.8	1250	1286
	404.7	1455	1440
	409.8	1672	1564
	398.9	1345	1310
	378.8	964	929
	370.8	795	806
	363.3	687	703
	354.9	565	601
	345.8	452	505
	331	363	376
	315.2	217	270
	361.8	640	684
	369.6	750	789
	377.4	860	907
	385.8	1010	1050
	394.3	1125	1223
	402.4	1315	1387
	370	715	795
	344.8	440	495
	345.5	435	502
	328.9	300	361
	303.9	170	211

Figure 2 shows a P-x diagram of the molten salt system. The linear dependency of the total pressure to the liquid composition validates Raoult's law for a molten salt system. Figure 3 shows the T-x-y

diagram of the molten salt system for different pressures. The predicted bubble point curves by Raoult's law showed good agreement with the experimental data. The relatively wide region between the bubble and dew point curves also indicates the favorable properties of extractive distillation for the separation of HfCl_4 and ZrCl_4 in a molten salt solution.




Figure 2. P-x diagram of the molten salt system (34.0% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4).




Figure 3. T-x-y diagram of the molten salt system (34.0% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4).

3. Optimal Design of Extractive Distillation Column

To examine the feasible separation of ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 for nuclear power reactor applications, a design study of the extractive distillation column was carried out for the molten salt system, 66.0 mol% tetrachlorides (ZrCl_4 - HfCl_4) and 34.0 mol% salts (NaCl - KCl). A rigorous commercial simulator, ASPEN HYSYS 8.4, was used to simulate and design the extractive distillation column. Based on the vapor-liquid equilibrium behavior of the ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 mixture over the molten salts, as discussed in the previous section, a modified ANTOINE fluid package model [30] was selected from the Aspen HYSYS property library to simulate the vapor-liquid equilibrium and thermodynamic properties of the molten salt system. The modified ANTOINE fluid package model employs Raoult's law for the vapor-liquid equilibrium behavior and Lee-Kesler model for the enthalpy calculation. The Antoine equation coefficients obtained from the regression were embedded into the modified ANTOINE fluid package model. Table 2 lists the main properties of the two hypothetical components evaluated from the simulator.

Table 2. Physical properties of the hypothetical ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 components over the molten salt system (34.0 mol% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4) estimated from Aspen HYSYS.

Properties	ZrCl_4	HfCl_4
Eutectic point ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	218	234
Acentricity factor	1.126	1.383
Critical Point		
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	406.4	421.9
Pressure (bar)	21.15	20.15
Volume (mL/mol)	658.1	699.8

Based on the Hf impurity in the natural state, a crude zirconium feed mixture of 23401.5 kg/h consisting of 98.4 wt% ZrCl_4 and 1.6 wt% HfCl_4 (salt-free basis), was assumed for the column design [9,31]. The column was designed to obtain an ultra-purified ZrCl_4 with less than 40 ppm HfCl_4 impurity and more than 85% ZrCl_4 recovery (salt-free basis). The column was also assumed to be operated at atmospheric pressure. In the extractive distillation column, a ZrCl_4 rich molten salt product was obtained from the bottom and the HfCl_4 impurities were removed from the top of the column. For optimal design of the column, the column was initially set up using a short-cut column design facility to obtain an initial estimate for the required number of trays and the reflux ratio. The column was then simulated rigorously to determine the optimal design conditions.

For optimization of the column structure, the number of stages was varied while keeping the product specifications. Figure 4 presents the reboiler duty as the number of stages in the column.

Based on the sensitivity analysis, the optimal number of trays in the column was selected to be 75. In addition, the column was designed with a maximum flooding of 80% to prevent flooding in the column. To determine the maximum flooding of a particular column, the rating mode was simulated using the column internal specifications. A high reflux ratio was required to achieve the design specifications, which resulted in a somewhat high reboiler duty as 62.4 W per 1 kg/h Zr production. Figure 5 presents a flow sheet of the resulting extractive distillation column along with its main design condition. All the

composition and flow rates shown in Figure 5 were based on a salt free basis. Note that HfCl_4 and ZrCl_4 dissolve in the molten salt as being the overhead and bottom products, respectively.




Figure 4. Sensitivity analysis between the number of stages and the reboiler duty for the molten salt extractive distillation column.




Figure 5. Optimal design of extractive distillation column the molten salt system (34.0% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4).

The bottom stream with high purity ZrCl_4 molten salt was then run through a stripper column to collect ZrCl_4 as a solid product and the solvent salt was returned to the feed storage [32–34]. The HfCl_4 -rich liquid comes off the overhead stream and is then collected in a HfCl_4 stripper unit to obtain the salt-free HfCl_4 . The main drawback of the extractive distillation approach is that it requires these post treatment units for the removal of the solvent salt from the tetrachlorides, which might give a rise to significant cost. Several approaches for the removal of the solvent salt from the tetrachlorides are described elsewhere [24].

The highly corrosive solvent nature might also have an impact on the selection of the material. Therefore, the corrosion effect is another important consideration in all such molten salt systems; thus, reducing the operation temperature and avoiding the use of excess molten salt is important. This also provides lower operating costs and allows the use of less expensive construction materials. Generally, the construction material should be chosen considering the high temperature and corrosion phenomenon. In the present study, Zr cladding was recommended for enduring the high temperature and corrosion condition in an economic manner [35,36]. Furthermore, a fuel furnace should be used as the high temperature generation source to boil up the bottom section of the column. Simultaneously, in view of economic advantage, the latent heat from the top vapor condensation can be utilized to generate high-pressure steam.

4. Enhanced Configuration by Heat-Pump Assisted Self-Heat Integration Technique

Heat pump technology, which allows use of the heat of condensation released at the condenser for evaporation in the reboiler, is an economic way to conserve energy when the temperature difference between the overhead and bottom of the column is small enough and the heat load is high [35]. A heat pump on the top of the column does not change the vapor and liquid traffic inside the column. The methods used widely are the top vapor recompression, closed cycle heat pump and bottom flashing heat pump. Many studies have been developed to improve the heat pump technology for different applications [37–39]. The heat pump can be used both in grassroots or retrofitting designs because they are easy to introduce and plant operation is normally simpler than other heat integration cases [40]. In this study, the focus was mainly on the feasibility of the enhanced heat pump-assisted configuration by partial bottom flashing [9,41,42]. Figure 6b presents the resulting flow sheet of the extractive distillation applying the heat pump technique, comparing with the conventional distillation [9] (Figure 6a).




Figure 6. Schematic diagram of (a) conventional distillation [9] and (b) enhanced extractive distillation configuration using a partial bottom flashing heat pump.

The bottom column outlet stream can be divided into two streams, where one stream is the bottom product of high purity $ZrCl_4$ and another stream is expanded in a valve to decrease its temperature,

which allows heat exchange with the top stream in a heat exchanger. This heat exchanger enables boiling the bottom column outlet stream and condensing the top column outlet stream. After the heat exchanger, the bottom stream must be recompressed to the column pressure using a compressor. This stream is finally recycled to the bottom section of the column. In the present study, the pressure ratio of the compressor was selected at 1.37 to obtain a minimum approach in the heat exchanger of 10 °C. Simultaneously, a reboiler needs to produce the remaining boil-up. As a result, the use of a partial bottom flashing heat pump reduced the energy requirement in the condenser and reboiler duty significantly by 60.0% and 69.2%, respectively, compared to the case without a heat pump. The required energy consumption was reduced to 30.6 W per 1 kg/h Zr production, which is equivalent to a 51.0% reduction. Table 3 provides a comparative summary of the key results.

Table 3. Comparison of different distillation approaches for ultra-purification of Zr-Hf tetrachlorides.

Column specification and performance	Conventional distillation [9]	Extractive distillation	Heat pump assisted extractive distillation
Number of stages	155	75	75
Column diameter (m)	0.85	2.0	2.0
Column temperature * (°C)	463.3	375.1	375.1
Column pressure * (bar)	32.0	1.12	1.12
Compressor duty (kW)	-	0.0	222.8
Condenser duty (kW)	1737	1117	447.2
Reboiler duty (kW)	1764	1222	376.1
Condenser duty saving (%)	-	35.7	74.2 (60.0)
Reboiler duty saving (%)	-	30.7	78.7 (69.2)
Total energy saving (%)	-	33.2	70.1 (55.3)

* Based on the column bottom; numbers in brackets are the savings compared to extractive distillation.

The performance of the proposed extractive distillation columns was compared with the conventional distillation column [9], as shown in Table 3. The proposed extractive distillation columns with and without a heat pump reduced the energy requirements by 70.1% and 33.2%, respectively, compared to the conventional distillation column approach. This result also showed that the proposed extractive distillation approach has many advantages over the conventional distillation for ultra-high purity Zr-Hf separation: milder design and operating conditions, such as a smaller number of theoretical stages, lower operating temperature and atmospheric operating pressure.

5. Conclusions

The feasibility of extractive distillation using molten salt was examined for the environmentally benign separation of ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄ for nuclear power reactor applications. Raoult's law with the extended ANTOINE vapor pressure model predicted the total vapor pressure of ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄ over the molten mixture of NaCl-KCl. Adding a proper molten salt mixture leads to the increase in the relative volatility of ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄. This also allowed milder pressure and temperature conditions as well as a wider range for vapor–liquid phase existence for distillation applications.

The optimal design of the extractive distillation column was carried out on the molten salt system (34.0% NaCl and KCl (8:29 M); 66.0 mol% ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄) through a rigorous simulation to obtain

an ultra-purified $ZrCl_4$ of less than 40 ppm $HfCl_4$ and more than 85% $ZrCl_4$ recovery. The resulting extractive distillation column showed many preferential properties for commercial separation, such as relatively mild operating temperatures, atmospheric operation pressures and smaller number of required stages. This also required lower energy requirement for separation: 33.2% energy saving was achieved compared to the conventional distillation case. An enhanced configuration by a heat-pump-assisted self-heat integration was also proposed to enhance the energy efficiency. The proposed heat-pump-assisted configuration achieved a significant decrease in the net energy requirement: a 70.1% energy saving was achieved compared to the conventional distillation case.

Extractive distillation using a proper molten salt system can be a promising option as an environmentally benign and economically effective way of obtaining ultra-purified Zr separation for nuclear power reactor applications, with a high potential of competing with an existing commercial separation process using extraction technology.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the 2014 Yeungnam University Research Grant. This study was also supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2015R1D1A3A01015621), and by Priority Research Centers Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2014R1A6A1031189).

Author Contributions

Le Quang Minh carried out the main job of modeling and design of the column and equilibrium model. Nguyen Van Duc Long aided the enhanced configuration design. Pham Luu Trung Duong and Youngmi Jung aided the equilibrium model and column simulation. Alireza Bahadori advised column design. Moonyong Lee conceived the core concepts for the research and advised academically. All authors collaborated in the preparation, revisions, and general editing of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Symbols

P	Pressure
T	Temperature
x	Liquid mole fraction of component i
y	Vapor mole fraction of component i

Abbreviation

MIBK	Isobutyl methylketone
VLE	Vapor-liquid equilibrium
AAD	Vapor-liquid equilibrium

References

1. Chu, S.; Majumdar, A. Opportunities and challenges for a sustainable energy future. *Nature* **2012**, *488*, 294–303.
2. Arunachalam, V.S.; Fleischer, E.L. The global energy landscape and materials innovation. *MRS Bull.* **2008**, *33*, 264–276.
3. Tanaka, N. *World Energy Outlook 2008*; International Energy Agency: Paris, France, 2008; p. 211.
4. Zinkle, S.J.; Was, G.S. Materials challenges in nuclear energy. *Acta Mater.* **2013**, *61*, 735–758.
5. Kratochvílová, I.; Škoda, R.; Škarohlíd, J.; Ashcheulov, P.; Jäger, A.; Racek, J.; Taylor, A.; Shao, L. Nanosized polycrystalline diamond cladding for surface protection of zirconium nuclear fuel tubes. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **2014**, *214*, 2600–2605.
6. Brun, P.; Guerin, J. Process for Introducing Zirconium Tetrachloride, Hafnium Tetrachloride and Mixtures Thereof into a Column for the Continuous Extractive Distillation under Pressure of Said Chlorides. U.S. Patent 4917773, 17 April 1990.
7. Lee, E.D.; McLaughlin, D.F. Molten Salt Scrubbing of Zirconium or Hafnium Tetrachloride. U.S. Patent 4913778, 3 April 1990.
8. Taghizadeh, M.; Ghanadi, M.; Zolfonoun, E. Separation of zirconium and hafnium by solvent extraction using mixture of TBP and Cyanex 923. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **2011**, *412*, 334–337.
9. Minh, L.Q.; Kim, G.M.; Park, J.K.; Lee, M.Y. Bubble point measurement and high pressure distillation column design for the environmentally benign separation of zirconium from hafnium for nuclear power reactor. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *32*, 30–36.
10. Fischer, W.; Chalybaeus, W. Die trennung des hafnium vom zirconium durch verteilung. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1947**, *255*, 79–100.
11. Sato, T.; Watanabe, H. The extraction of zirconium(IV) from sulfuric acid solutions by long-chain aliphatic amines. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1974**, *36*, 2585–2589.
12. Benedict, M.; Pigford, T.H.; Levi, H.W. *Nuclear Chemical Engineering*; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1981.
13. Schrotterova, D.; Nekovar, P.; Mrnka, M. Extraction of zirconium(IV) from sulfuric acid solutions with amines and mathematical simulation of extraction processes. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1991**, *150*, 325–333.
14. Da-silva, A.; El-ammouri, E.; Distion, P.A. Hafnium/zirconium separation using Cyanex 925. *Can. Metall. Q.* **2000**, *39*, 37–42.
15. Taghizadeh, M.; Ghasemzadeh, R.; Ashrafizadeh, S.N.; Saberyan, K.; Ghanadi, M. Determination of optimum process conditions for the extraction and separation of zirconium and hafnium by solvent extraction. *Hydrometallurgy* **2008**, *90*, 115–120.
16. Banda, R.; Lee, M.S. Solvent extraction for the separation of Zr and Hf from aqueous solutions. *Sep. Purif. Rev.* **2014**, *44*, 199–215.
17. Palko, A.A.; Ryan, A.D.; Kuhn, D.W. The vapor pressures of zirconium tetrachloride and hafnium tetrachloride. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1958**, *62*, 319–322.
18. Vinarov, I.V. Modern methods of separating zirconium and hafnium. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1967**, *36*, 522.

19. Ishikuza, H. Process for Separation of Zirconium-Hafnium Tetrachlorides from A Mixture Comprising Such Chlorides and Apparatus Therefor. European Patent 45270, 3 February 1982.
20. Tricot, R. The metallurgy and functional properties of hafnium. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **1992**, *189*, 277.
21. Denisova, N.D.; Safronov, E.K.; Pustil'nik, A.I.; Bystrova, O.N. Boundary liquid-vapor curve and saturated vapor pressure of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.* **1967**, *41*, 30.
22. Kim, J.D.; Spink, D.R. Vapor pressure in systems $NaCl-KCl(8:29\ M)-ZrCl_4$ and $NaCl-KCl(8:29\ M)-HfCl_4$. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1974**, *19*, 36.
23. Megy, J.A.; Freund, H. The separation of Zirconium and Hafnium in a molten salt-molten zinc system. *Metallurg. Mater. Trans. B* **1979**, *10*, 413–421.
24. Mallikarjunan, R.; Sehra, J.C. Pyrometallurgical processes for the separation of hafnium from zirconium. *Bull. Mater. Sci.* **1989**, *12*, 407.
25. Delons, L.; Picard, G.; Tigreat, D. Method for Separating Zirconium and Hafnium Tetrachlorides with the Aid of a Melted Solvent. U.S. Patent 6929786 B2, 16 August 2005.
26. Niselson, L.A.; Egor, A.E.; Chuvilina, E.L.; Arzhatkina, O.A.; Fedorov, V.D. Solid-liquid and liquid-vapor equilibria in the $Zr(Hf)Cl_4-KAlCl_4$ systems: A basis for the extractive distillation separation of zirconium and hafnium tetrachlorides. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2009**, *54*, 726–729.
27. Sathiyamoorthy, D.; Shetty, S.M.; Bose, D.K.; Gupta, C.K. Pyrochemical separation of zirconium and hafnium tetrachlorides using fused salt extractive distillation process. *High Temp. Mater. Proc.* **1999**, *18*, 213.
28. Kim, J.D.; Spink, D.R. Total pressure of $ZrCl_4$ and $HfCl_4$ over Melts of $NaCl-KCl(8:29\ M)-ZrCl_4-HfCl_4$ systems. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1975**, *20*, 173–178.
29. Antoine, C. Tensions des vapeurs: Nouvelle relation entre les tensions et les températures. *Comptes Rend.* **1888**, *107*, 681–684.
30. Aspen Technology, Inc. *Aspen Physical Property System, Version Number: V8.4*; Aspen Technology, Inc.: Bedford, MA, USA, 2013.
31. Bromberg, M.L. Purification of Zirconium Tetrachlorides by Fractional Distillation. U.S. Patent 2852446, 16 September 1958.
32. Spink, D.R. Separation of Zirconium and Hafnium. U.S. Patent 3966458, 29 June 1976.
33. Besson, P.; Guerin, J.; Brun, P.; Bakes, M. Process for the Separation of Zirconium and Hafnium Tetrachlorides from Mixtures Thereof. U.S. Patent 4021531, 3 May 1977.
34. Spink, D.R.; Jonasson, K.A. Separation of $HfCl_4$ and $ZrCl_4$ by fractional distillation. In *Extractive Metallurgy of Refractory Metals*; Sohn, H.Y., Carlson, O.N., Smith, J.T., Eds.; The Metallurgical Society of AIME: Warrendale, PA, USA, 1981; pp. 297–314.
35. Chawla, S.L.; Gupta R.K. *Material Selection for Corrosion Control*; ASM International: Materials Park, OH, USA, 1993; pp. 271–272.
36. Richardson, T. *Shreir's Corrosion: Volume 3: Corrosion and Degradation of Engineering Materials*; Elsevier: Manchester, UK, 2010; pp. 2127–2134.
37. Kiss, A.A.; Landaeta, S.J.F.; Ferreira, C.A.I. Towards energy efficient distillation technologies—Making the right choice. *Energy* **2012**, *47*, 531–542.
38. Jana, A.K. Advances in heat pump assisted distillation column: A review. *Energy Convers. Manag.* **2014**, *77*, 287–297.

39. Tsai, H.L. Design and evaluation of a photovoltaic/thermal-assisted heat pump water heating system. *Energies* **2014**, *7*, 3319–3338.
40. Long, N.V.D.; Lee, M.Y. A novel NGL (natural gas liquid) recovery process based on self-heat recuperation. *Energy* **2013**, *57*, 663–670.
41. Chew, J.M.; Reddy, C.C.S.; Rangaiah, G.P. Improving energy efficiency of dividing-wall columns using heat pumps, organic Rankie cycle and Kalina cycle. *Chem.Eng.Process.* **2014**, *76*, 45–59.
42. Waheed, M.A.; Oni, A.O.; Adejuyigbe, S.B.; Adewumi, B.A.; Fadare, D.A. Perfomance enhancement of vapor recompression heat pump. *Appl. Energy* **2014**, *114*, 69–79.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).